



The University of Texas
University Charter School System

UT Elementary Charter School Teacher Incentive Allotment Handbook



2022-2023 School Year



Teacher Incentive Allotment Handbook

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

2022-2023 School Year

Contents

Architect Team	4
Introduction, Rationale and Description	5
Introduction.....	5
Rationale for Participation	5
Description.....	5
Teacher Eligibility and Weighting.....	6
Teacher Eligibility	6
Weighting	6
Teacher Observation Component	7
Observation Overview.....	7
Appraisers	8
Observation Data.....	8
Observation Data and Student Growth Data.....	9
Student Growth.....	10
Student Growth Component.....	10
Test Creation	10
Test Approval.....	10
Test Security.....	10
Testing Window.....	11
Test Administration	11
Scoring	11
Growth Standards	12
Growth Targets	12
Designation Score Calculation	14
Spending Plan	15
Spending Plan Development.....	15
Distribution of Funds.....	15

Teacher Mobility.....	16
National Board Certification.....	16
Support for Teachers.....	17
Support for Teachers.....	17
Recruitment and Retention.....	17
System Improvement and Evaluation	19
System Improvement	19
Program Evaluation	19
Appendix A.....	20
T-TESS Implementation Calendar	20
Appraiser Training, Certification and Recertification Deadlines	20
Remote Learning Contingencies	21



Architect Team

The following faculty and staff comprised the Architect Team that created this handbook in 2021.

Superintendent

Dr. Nicole Whetstone

Director of Finance

Jenny Davis

Chairs

Melissa Ruffin

Dr. Autumn Leal-Shopp

Members

Melissa Garcia

Lucy McCoy

Carly Kennedy

Thelma Herrera

Lily Dominguez

Noura Wakim



Introduction, Rationale and Description

Introduction

The Teacher Incentive Allotment (TIA) program was created by the Texas Legislature as part of House Bill 3 to provide a realistic pathway for top teachers to earn six-figure salaries and to help attract and retain highly effective teachers at traditionally hard-to-staff schools (Teacher Incentive Allotment, 2021). This handbook details the Teacher Incentive Allotment program to be implemented by University of Texas Elementary School (UTES). This handbook is published for the 2022-2023 school year, UTES's second year of participation in the TIA. This handbook is subject to change and will be updated as needed.

Rationale for Participation

Located in historic East Austin, UTES is a single-campus charter school that serves a diverse student body of just over 300 students each year. The student body is composed of Limited English Proficient, Low-Income, and Special Education students. UTES wants only the best for our students and our teaching staff has shown not only their success, but also their commitment to students during the challenges of the last two academic years. In order to retain the teachers that have served our students so well, our strategic planning process identified a need to focus on teacher retention.

In Austin, UTES competes with several prestigious surrounding ISDs and charter schools for the best experienced teachers. Since participation in TIA program aligns with the mission of UTES to serve as an exemplary education program for diverse learners and provided a means to retain our best teachers; UTES staff, leadership, and stakeholders decided to investigate TIA as an addition to the retention and recruitment efforts of UTES.

After learning more about the TIA program, several discussions with our board, administration, teachers, parents, and community supporters resulted in UTES's choice to participate in TIA as a means of providing recognition for those most effective teachers and incentive to stay and grow at UTES. By offering a financial incentive for our teachers, the TIA supplements the teacher salaries offered by UTES so that the efforts of the best are rewarded and that the best teachers remain at UTES.

Description

The UTES TIA for the 2022-2023 school year involves both a Teacher Observation and a Student Growth component. This handbook will be published annually and made available to all teachers prior to the beginning of each school year. Teachers will receive annual TIA training as a part of the beginning of year, pre-service training.



Teacher Eligibility and Weighting

Teacher Eligibility

Only teachers that meet the criteria below are eligible for TIA designation. In order to be eligible teachers must:

- Have a current Standard Teaching Certificate issued by the State Board of Educator Certification in good standing;
- Be employed by UTES as a classroom teacher (PEIMS Role code 86) prior to the 2022-2023 Snapshot date;
- Be assigned to at least 10 students that are a) enrolled prior to the 2022-2023 Snapshot date and b) take both the pre/post-tests; and
- Have a completed Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System (T-TESS) observation (as defined in T-TESS section of this handbook) per the T-TESS Implementation Dates published in Appendix A of this handbook.

Weighting

In the calculation of the UTES TIA, the Teacher Observation component is weighted 50% and the Student Growth component is weighted 50%.



Teacher Observation Component

Observation Overview

UTES employs the Texas Teacher Evaluation and Support System as the teacher observation tool for the teacher observation component of the TIA. UTES implements the T-TESS per the [T-TESS Implementation Guidebook](#) posted on teachfortexas.org. Additionally, UTES sends all teaching staff an implementation calendar: complete with action items, due dates, and detailed information on each component of T-TESS (see Appendix A). Each year, teachers must have a minimum of four full-scored observations: 2 formal and 2 informal walkthroughs. Additional unscored observations can be conducted as needed. UTES requires a total of four scores for each dimension of the rubric. There will be no appraisal waivers for any TIA-eligible teachers. UTES's T-TESS appraisal system complies with Texas Education Code §21.351 and §21.352.

Though the T-TESS has a total of four domains, only Domain 2 (Instruction) and Domain 3 (Learning Environment) are considered for the TIA teacher observation score. After the final T-TESS scores have been calculated for each teacher, Domain 2 and Domain 3 scores will be averaged to create a Teacher Observation Score for each eligible teacher.

The UTES TIA is aligned to the Texas Education Agency's required performance standards for teacher designations. Per the TEA, for a teacher to receive a designation, they must have a minimum average domain score and a minimum rating for each dimension in Domain 2 and Domain 3. The table below displays the minimum scores for each:

Designation Level	Minimum Average Score Across Domain 2 and 3	Minimum Rating Required for each Dimension in Domain 2 and 3
Recognized	3.7 (74% of possible points)	At least 3 (proficient) on all dimensions
Exemplary	3.9 (78% of possible points)	At least 3 (proficient) on all dimensions
Master	4.5 (90% of possible points)	At least 3 (proficient) on all dimensions

Appraisers

Two appraisers (Principal and Assistant Principal) will complete all appraisals at the campus. They are required to be T-TESS certified and are required to recertify every three years. Additionally, the district T-TESS Coordinator oversees certification compliance, training and calibration of all appraisers. Training requirements for each appraiser include:

- Attend the annual Region XIII training
- Participate in two Appraiser Refresh trainings that review T-TESS domains, dimensions, scripting, feedback and calibration exercises, and
- Perform calibration exercises prior to scheduling formal observations.

Appraisers meet collaboratively with the T-TESS Coordinator each quarter to evaluate teacher observation data, specifically looking for any skew in the data. Data will be reviewed by appraiser, grade level, and content area. The T-TESS Coordinator will facilitate this analysis and share all information with campus-based teacher appraisers. Instances of perceived skew may result in second appraisals being conducted on some teachers.

Observation Data

Observation data is collected by appraisers and uploaded into the T-TESS System with datapoints that allow for effective disaggregation by grade level, subject, and teacher demographics. UTES appraiser supervisor reviews teacher observation data at least three times per school year. Observation data is compared: 1) among raters to ensure raters are calibrated, 2) to the previous year's data to identify outliers in observation, and 3) to student performance data to identify any non-congruence and to all other teachers. Skewed data presents itself as significantly or consistently higher or lower data points.

Because UTES has utilized T-TESS for several years, the school has a repository of teacher observation data to be used for annual comparison. Because this data has been reviewed by UTES T-TESS Coordinator and appraisers, previous skew and other areas of concern in observation have been well documented. After each observation, data is compared to the repository observation data and reviewed by all of the trends identified above and by individual teacher. If the distribution of scores in any of the areas is higher or lower than previous trends, the data is examined and discussed so that root causes of the skew can be identified. If the root cause of the skew is related to the scores of one particular appraiser, that particular appraiser is provided additional support. If the root cause is identified to be a malalignment to the rubric, improper collection of evidence, or lack of calibration among appraisers, all appraisers are provided additional support.

When the root cause is identified and discussed to consensus among the T-TESS Coordinator and appraisers (i.e. one appraiser is not calibrated to the rubric), additional training and support is provided by campus T-TESS experts, as well as additional calibration and training exercises from Region XIII.

Observation Data and Student Growth Data

UTES reviews correlation between teacher observation and student growth data at the end of each school year. Specifically, student growth is calculated for each teacher and the teachers' observation scores are compared. For an easy visual comparison, teacher student growth scores are graphed against teacher observation scores using a scatterplot graph. On top of the scatterplot, a line of best-fit is overlaid and the teacher observation and growth scores that are farthest from the line of best fit are reviewed. When reviewing, the T-TESS coordinator and appraisers are looking for trends in outliers in: appraiser, subject, grade level, instructional style, and teacher demographic.

The root cause of a lack of correlation between teacher observation data and student growth data is identified by the T-TESS Coordinator and appraisers. At the end of each school year, the scatterplot of teacher observation and student growth data allows for the teachers with weakest and strongest correlations to be identified. Then, trends in appraiser, grade level, subject area, and demographic are examined so that root cause can be identified. The key to the root cause determination is external validation of student growth scores to STAAR scores and progress measures. In the student growth calculation procedures, the T-TESS coordinator and appraisers compare STAAR performance to student performance on the pre/post-test. If there is weak correlation, the test is identified as a root cause and procedures are in place to improve the test.

Lack of correlation is addressed in multiple ways depending on the root cause. If the root cause is test validity, the test is reviewed and improved per the procedures in the Student Growth section. If the root cause is a problem with appraiser scores being skewed by instructional practices, a lack of appraiser calibration, or other observational issue; the T-TESS coordinator and appraisers formulate a plan for additional training and calibration exercises over the summer with additional training and exercises implemented throughout the school year to improve observation scoring.



Student Growth Component

For several years, UTES has successfully used the STAAR released tests as pre/post-tests to monitor student progress, provide student support, and guide instruction. When TIA was presented to our stakeholder group, the pre/post-tests were seen as a way to seamlessly transition into use for TIA while still supporting student growth. Proven to be both valid and reliable measure of student growth, the pre/post-tests have assisted teachers in improving student performance and have assisted district leadership in supporting teacher instruction. Teachers, leadership and stakeholders chose to use pre/post-test over other measures because of TEKS alignment and because of UTES's use of the tests for both student and teacher development.

Test Creation

The pre/post-tests for TIA are created by a team comprised of content leads, interventionists, and the STEAM teachers. The TIA Architect Team is responsible for final approval of each test created by the team. STAAR released test questions, TEKS Resource questions, and Texas Formative Assessment Resource (TFAR) questions will be used to construct the pre/post-tests for UTES. The same test is given for the pre/post-tests. Because the pre/post-tests are created from STAAR released tests, agency approved TEKS Resource, and agency-created TFAR, the questions are all aligned to TEKS. The TIA Architect Team is responsible for ensuring the TEKS taught in each grade level and course are tested.

Test Approval

The pre/post-test approval team is made up of the Principal, Assistant Principal, and the Director of Curriculum and Instruction. In order to approve the tests, the team must see evidence that each question is from a TEA recognized source and that the test is aligned to the TEKS for each subject and grade level.

Test Security

UTES uses STAAR released test questions, as well as TEKS Resource, DMAC, and TFAR as resources for test questions. The TIA Architect Team will be responsible for keeping the pre/post-tests secure in both electronic and paper forms, using the same protocol for STAAR test security. Staff will be trained on test security prior to each administration. Since some of the test questions are publicly available, the TIA Architect Team has set up a procedure to review the pre/post-test questions scores to ensure the distribution of student answers is similar to that of previous years' tests. By doing this, the TIA Architect Team can easily identify infidelity in test security.

Testing Window

To be determined prior to the beginning of the 2022-2023 school year.

Test Administration

In order to administer the pre/post-tests with fidelity, UTES mimics a STAAR testing environment for each administration. In order to create this environment, UTES uses the protocols published in the [STAAR Grades 3-5 Testing Administrator Manual](#). Like the STAAR test, teachers are prohibited from providing assistance to students on the exams. Students may only receive accommodations prescribed in their Individual Education Plans, just as with the STAAR test. Teachers are trained each year on the administration of the pre/post-tests.

Scoring

Immediately following their administration, the Pre/Post-tests tests are scored and stored in DMAC. After the Pre/Post-testing window is complete, the student scores are downloaded into a spreadsheet. Student scores are assigned to a teacher using the class rosters and marked as included or excluded from teachers' TIA scores. To be included in the Student Growth Score for a teacher, students must:

- Be enrolled in a teacher's classroom prior to the Snapshot Date;
- Complete the pre-test before the end of the published testing window with a valid test score; and
- Complete the post-test before the end of the published testing window with a valid test score.

Note - students receiving special education services are assigned to inclusion teachers based upon the classes in which they are providing services.

Within one week of completion of each test, the TIA Architect Team reviews the test scores to identify any possible skew, inconsistent testing data, or other abnormalities. If none are found, the data are dated, marked final, and saved on the UTES server.

If abnormalities are found in testing, students are either excluded from the calculation (if a one or two student incident) or are retested if a larger interruption occurred (power outage, etc.). After investigation of the incident (including discussion with affected teacher) and within one week of administration of tests, the TIA Architect Team will make a recommendation to exclude student scores, retest students, or make a teacher ineligible for a school year's TIA. If retests are recommended and approved, the retests must occur within one week of the final decision. The Superintendent has final approval authority over the TIA Architect Team's decision. The Superintendent will communicate final approval of the decision with the affected teachers within two days of the TIA Architect Team's decision and the affected teachers have two days to appeal the decision.

Growth Standards

The TIA statewide performance standards for student growth were adopted as the performance standards for UTES's student growth standards, so our plan is 100% aligned to the TIA statewide performance standards. The table below outlines the state performance standards UTES has adopted:

Growth Standard Group	% of students meeting or exceeding growth targets
Recognized	55%
Exemplary	60%
Master	70%

Growth Targets

The amount of growth necessary for each grade level and subject area to be demonstrated in order for a student to meet a growth standard is determined each year by the TIA Architect Team and test development team. The TIA Architect Team and test development team work together to triangulate the amount of growth required for each grade level and subject area using multiple analyses. The expertise of the administrators, content experts, and teachers on this team combines to ensure the best possible determination of growth targets for each subject area and grade level.

For tests in the STAAR tested subjects, the team has two analyses upon which to base the growth target: comparison of pre/post-test growth to Progress Measures and comparison of pre/post-test growth to prior pre/post-test growth. First, the team determines which students met their Progress Measure on STAAR for a given year and their performance level. Using only the pre/post-test data for students that met their Progress Measure in each performance level (did not meet, approaches, meets, masters), an average increase in the pre/post-test questions answered correctly is calculated.

Second, the team reviews the pre/post-test growth in the current school year to pre/post-test growth given in previous years. Because UTES has given pre/post-tests in the STAAR tested subject areas and grades for several years, the expected growth is well-documented and a clear distribution of growth is consistently demonstrated each year. Using these two analyses for each test in each subject area, the team triangulates the growth targets.

For tests in the non-STAAR tested subjects, the team will determine growth based upon the distribution of growth in the STAAR tested subject areas. For the first few years, the newly-developed tests are administered, the growth targets will be set based upon the distribution of the growth consistently demonstrated on pre/post-tests in STAAR tested grade levels and subjects for previous years. The growth target will be set so that a similar percentage of students across the district meet their growth target, as compared to tests in STAAR tested subjects. Because these data analyses are conducted each year, and as data is collected on the non-STAAR tested subject areas and grade level pre/post-tests, growth targets will be adjusted by the team.

For both types of pre/post-tests, the team presents findings and recommendations to be approved by the Superintendent each year. As UTES implements the TIA program, the district plans to collaborate with other local charter schools and the Education Service Center to externally validate growth results. UTES has every intention of the TIA growth metric identifying teachers of highest quality.



Designation Score Calculation

The TIA Architect Team; including the T-TESS Coordinator, Deputy Superintendent of Academics and Professional Development and Director of Curriculum, is responsible for collecting, analyzing, and finalizing the data. The Architect Team will recommend teachers for designation based upon the data and the Superintendent will give final approval to designations.

The Student Growth and the Teacher Observation components are equally weighted 50% in the UTES TIA. Once the data has been finalized by the TIA Architect Team and the Superintendent, the data will be used to calculate the designation score for each individual teacher. Once designation scores are calculated and designations recommended, the results are presented to the Superintendent. The Superintendent finalizes the recommendations. The teachers are then notified of their designation score and their recommended designation, if any. Teachers have one week after notification to appeal the decision to the TIA Architect Team.

Teachers can submit an email describing the need for an appeal to their campus principal, who will then share with the TIA Architect Team. The TIA Architect Team will consider all appeals and make a recommendation to the Superintendent one week following receipt of the appeal. The Superintendent will notify the TIA Architect Team and the teacher of the final appeal decision.



Spending Plan

The following section ensures UTES's compliance with Texas Education Code §48.112.

Spending Plan Development

Teachers and other stakeholders at UTES expressed during TIA development that it "takes a village to raise a child". As such, they realized that those receiving the designation do not operate in isolation. Every teacher contributes to the success of the student. Therefore, UTES stakeholders developed a graduated TIA compensation system that allows the designated teacher to receive a large percentage of the allotment while also rewarding other teachers on the campus. All teachers at UTES were involved in the decision of how to distribute the TIA funds. They were represented on the TIA Architect Team, participated in TIA information and feedback sessions, and participated in a teacher survey where they indicated their personal preferences for the distribution. District level human resources and the Chief Financial Officer were involved in the development of the spending plan. The resulting spending plan is communicated in this section.

Distribution of Funds

Per Texas Education Code, UTES will use 10% of the designation allotment to cover administrative expenses. Teachers earning a Recognized designation will receive 67% of the allotment, teachers earning a Master designation will receive 76% of the allotment, teachers earning an Exemplary designation will receive 85% of the allotment, and the remaining allotment will be distributed among other certified teachers on the campus.

For teachers receiving a designation prior to employment at UTES, the spending plan will only be different in the first year of employment at UTES. Teachers receiving a designation prior to employment at UTES will receive 90% of the allotment in their first year of employment at UTES, with the remaining 10% kept by the district to cover administrative costs. In subsequent years, the teacher will be paid following the UTES spending plan for all other teachers.

The TIA allotment funds will work in conjunction with the current district salary schedule. It will be paid to eligible staff as a stipend and will not be part of the teacher's base pay. During the first year the TIA will be paid as a stipend equally distributed by the months remaining in the school year. Teachers are notified in April of their designation. The TIA stipend is paid TIA designated teachers in equal installments over the months of May, June, July, and August. For the second year and thereafter, UTES will pay the TIA stipend to TIA designated teachers as a part of the teacher's monthly compensation. Other certified teachers not receiving a designation will receive their portion of funds in the same manner.

Teacher Mobility

UTES will determine the total campus TIA allotment after determinations have been made in April of each year. At that time, UTES will determine the teachers who are eligible for the TIA distribution: local TIA designated teachers, incoming TIA designated teachers who earned their designation at a previous district, and current non-TIA designated teachers who were at the campus during the data capture year.

If a teacher leaves, UTES will continue to include the TIA stipend in monthly paychecks until the end of the work agreement. If a teacher leaves after the Class Roster Winter Submission but before the end of the school year, pay will be prorated based on the number of days worked. The TIA stipend will be treated similarly. Any additional TIA funds left due the proration will be distributed to other teachers on the campus according to the plan.

National Board Certification

UTES will encourage National Board Certification (NBC) teachers to notify the district as soon as they receive their certification. Then the district will verify the NBC is listed on their Texas Teacher Certificate. Such teachers will be automatically eligible for the Recognized status according to our TIA Plan and eligible for the distribution.



Support for Teachers

Support for Teachers

UTES is a single campus district. As such, its plan for supporting new designated teachers is the same for the campus and district. Due to the high poverty rate of our students and the culture and climate of our school, UTES anticipates being able to recruit TIA designated teachers throughout the Austin area. UTES provides all new teachers a pre-service orientation where they are assigned a teacher mentor. Throughout the year, UTES provides formal opportunities for the mentor and mentee teachers to meet during professional development days. In addition to the induction and mentoring plan for all new teachers, UTES also plans to provide TIA cohort meetings for designated teachers directly with the campus principal at least each six weeks.

Additionally, the Deputy Superintendent for Academics and Professional Development and the Director of Curriculum are available to provide additional, specific support to TIA teachers as needed.

Recruitment and Retention

UTES will use the availability of TIA funds to recruit highly effective teachers who are either already TIA designated, or who have the talent to become designated after arriving at UTES. UTES will market our TIA program through all our digital media, including social media. UTES plans to include information on TIA in our teacher recruiting materials and highlight existing TIA designated teachers through the district's webpage and through our annual report. In addition to being placed on the TIA "map" as a TIA school, UTES will aggressively market our TIA program when hiring teachers. We will proudly display our status as a TIA school on our webpage and digital media. Recruiting materials will include information on our TIA program.

Retention of the best teachers is critical to UTES. The current plan for teacher retention includes providing a competitive compensation plan for teachers, promoting the amazing professional climate at our school, effective use of Professional Learning Communities (PLC) to provide support to teachers, and high-quality professional development and growth opportunities for teachers. Specifically for TIA designated teachers, we will also provide group/cohort support from district and campus leadership and opportunities for designated teachers to build a PLC specific to them.

UTES plans to leverage the experience and expertise of TIA designated teachers in a number of ways that may lead to increased responsibility and authority. Designated teachers could be used as PLC leads and/or grade level chairs. Designated teachers will be encouraged to submit professional development at regional, state, and national conferences. And, if desired, designated teachers could be considered for campus and district leadership positions.



System Improvement and Evaluation

System Improvement

UTES will conduct a rigorous analysis of designated teachers, including but not limited to evaluating their educational background, certification type, and completed trainings. The idea is to use that information to help identify common indicators of teacher effectiveness and use that to inform future teacher recruitment efforts. Additionally, staffing assignments may be changed to place the most effective teachers with the students at highest risk or in need of the most support. UTES will conduct an annual internal TIA program evaluation that will consist of teacher performance data, student growth data, and internally developed teacher surveys to monitor TIA's impact on the district. UTES will require teachers and staff to participate in the TIA program evaluation surveys.

In addition to the teacher observation and student growth data required for designating TIA teachers, UTES will also administer teacher surveys to both designated and non-designated teachers. We will evaluate teacher retention stats, satisfaction surveys, and campus and district accountability data to perform a well-rounded, thorough program evaluation. The school also plans to evaluate planning practices, organizational tools used by the teacher, curriculum resources used, and other instructional tools used by TIA designated teachers to assist in the development of future professional development plans for the entire staff.

Program Evaluation

UTES will require each TIA-eligible teacher to participate in the TIA Annual program survey. The information provided in this survey will help UTES understand the impact of TIA on the school. In addition to the teacher observation and student growth data required for designating TIA teachers, UTES will also administer teacher surveys to both designated and non-designated teachers. We will evaluate teacher retention stats, satisfaction surveys, and campus and district accountability data to perform a well-rounded, thorough program evaluation.



Appendix A

T-TESS Implementation Calendar



T-TESS Implementation Dates 2021-2022

Action	Due Date	Details	
New Teacher Training	August 4, 2021	UT Canvas and Campus Principal	
Self-Assessment Goal Setting Completed	September 17, 2021	Documentation Saved on Personal Computer	
1-Formal Observation 1-Walkthrough (minimum of 2)	December 16, 2021	1 Formal Announced Observation with Pre / Post Conference and 1 Informal	
1-Formal Observation 1-Walkthrough (Minimum of 2)	April 1, 2022	1 Formal Unannounced Walkthrough (minimum)	
Final Observation/ Summative Evaluation	April 29, 2022	Summative Evaluation Completed in T-TESS System	
Final Evaluation Conference with Signed Evaluation	May 6, 2022	Final Observation Conference Completed	Print and Sign Final Evaluation
Evaluation Documented and Finalized in Workday	May 13, 2022	Final Observation Summaries Due to Human Resource	

Appraiser Training, Certification and Recertification Deadlines

- Proof of certification (or recertification every three years) is due to the T-TESS coordinator prior to August 1 of each school year.
- Annual ESC Region XIII T-TESS training, including recalibration to the scoring rubric, is due prior to the Self-Assessment Goal Setting Due Date for Teachers.
- Appraiser Refresh training is due by November 1 and February 1 of each school year. Appraiser Refresh training includes: training, reviewing T-TESS domains, dimensions, scripting, and feedback and calibration exercises.
- Prior to scheduling formal observations, appraisers meet to complete calibration exercises.

Remote Learning Contingencies

In the event teachers return to remote learning due to safety concerns, T-TESS appraisers will utilize the following data to inform teacher observations:

- Online instruction with students in small groups
- Lesson plans
- Documentation of personalizing communications with students (Evidence of continuous, frequent support and feedback)
- Use a variety of communication techniques to provide empathy and personal approach than solely e-mail and web site
- Documentation of the use of structured activities to provide an effective context for online learning.
- Review of data in online systems
- Documentation of preparation and outline of assignments and due dates in advance so that students/families know what to expect and when
- Documentation of producing supervised social interaction through group collaboration to facilitate student progress
- Documentation that the teacher has assessed student's prerequisite technology skills at beginning of the course/activities